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Background & Purpose

- Project history
- Documentation


Research Background (examples)


Information-Seeking Behavior

1. Reading
2. Writing
3. Listening
4. Visiting
5. Observing
6. Talking
Script Visuals & Blocking

*Music*
Slide: FSU LOGO
Slide: Career Center
Slide: **Exploring Options**

*Music fades and is completely faded when Beth speak*

Set 1
Scene 1: Camera shows overall scene and slowly moves in when Beth starts to talk until complete close-up

[superscript: Beth Lulgjuraj, Assistant Director
[superscript: Educational & Career Planning]
Camera defocuses scene
Set 2  Change clothes
Scene 2: Beth writing at desk. Camera: back of Shawn is shown as he walks up to the desk and signs in; overall view remains.

Beth looks up and motions Shawn to sit. Shawn moves to chair and sits. Beth turns, faces Shawn, pulls her chair closer to Shawn. Camera: overall view showing both facing each other and side view.
1. Co: Hi. My name is Beth Lulgjuraj and I am an Assistant Director of the FSU Career Center for advising and counseling. We have a comprehensive program of services here, and in this presentation I’d like to highlight some ways that you might use our resources to make educational and career decisions. We’ll do this by showing you an interview with a student who was having difficulty in making a career decision. The interview is with Shawn, an FSU student. I think you will probably find it interesting to see how Shawn went about finding information that helped him. It is unlikely that you’ll have exactly the same interests as Shawn, but you will see how he went through the process of acquiring information. So let’s take a look at the interview now. [green shading indicates counselor (CO) talk]

2. ______________________________________________________________________

3. Co: Hi Shawn! I’m Beth. What brings you in today? [shading indicates reinforcement]

4. Shawn: Well, I guess I have some questions about majors. [shading indicates client talk]

5. Co: O.k., tell me more about that.

6. Shawn: Well, I want to change my major and I’m really not sure what to go into.

7. Co: I see. What’s your major right now?

8. Shawn: I’m in sociology but the classes aren’t what I expected it to be so I’d like to get
Production Facility and Support

Video

Digital Media Center
Digital Media Center

Photo Gallery
Liz at Work

Photo Gallery
Exploring Options Video
Reflections on My Role

- Reinforce Information Seeking Behavior
  - Modeling
  - Verbal
  - Nonverbal
  - Visual

- 6 Types of ISB
  - Write
  - Observe
  - Read
  - Listen
  - Visit
  - Talk
Additional Reflections

- Acting vs. counseling
- Things to consider
- You can do it too!
Research Methods and Procedures

Participants
- 10 class sections, 5 fall semester and 5 spring semester
- Sections randomly assigned to treatment and control conditions
- Treatment ($N=139$), Control ($N=141$)
Participants

- 58% Male
- 58% Caucasian
- 23% African American
- 10% Hispanic
- 6% Asian
- 74% Upper division
Dependent Variables

• Number of research strategies (e.g., reading, writing, listening, visiting)
• Frequency of ISBs in above activities
• Hours spent in ISB
• Number of references used in research paper
• Number of types of references
Procedures

- 6\textsuperscript{th} day of class, career research paper
- Video
- Treatment validity check
- Last class, posttest
Design and Analysis of Data

- Posttest only control group design
- Log transformation to normalize data
- Skew test
- LTEEV test
- MANOVA
- Univariate, pairwise comparisons
### Distributions for ISB1, ISB2, ISB3, and CFA2 Before and After Statistical Transformations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ISB1</th>
<th>ISB2</th>
<th>ISB3</th>
<th>CFA1</th>
<th>CFA2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>M/SD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Data</td>
<td>5.11/1.21=.24</td>
<td>205.18/72.26=2.89</td>
<td>221.02/78.33=2.82</td>
<td>3.142/7.86=.399</td>
<td>1.16/3.67=.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformed</td>
<td>.4/1.32=.3</td>
<td>.48/1.51=.32</td>
<td>.52/1.51=.34</td>
<td>.16/.92=.17</td>
<td>3.60/1.3=.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Skew</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Data</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>-.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformed</td>
<td>.92</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>-.99</td>
<td>-.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LTEEV</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw Data</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.626</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>.050</td>
<td>.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transformed</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.348</td>
<td>.270</td>
<td>.039</td>
<td>.159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N = 280*

ISB1 = Information-Seeking Behaviour Questionnaire six research strategies

ISB2 = Information-Seeking Behaviour Questionnaire number of times engaged in ISB

ISB3 = Information-Seeking Behaviour Questionnaire hours spent in ISB

CFA1 = Career Field Analysis total number of references used

CFA2 = Career Field Analysis number of types of resources used
Univariate Comparison between Treatment and Control Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ISB1</th>
<th>ISB2</th>
<th>ISB3</th>
<th>CFA1</th>
<th>CFA2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment $M$</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment $SD$</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control $M$</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control $SD$</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Df$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$-value</td>
<td>.01**</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.02*</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.00***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen’s $D$</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effect-size $r$</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ISB1, ISB2, ISB3, CFA1, and CFA2 are transformed data (see Table 1)
Computation using $\alpha = .05$

* = .05
** = .01
*** = .001

ISB1 = Information-Seeking Behavior Questionnaire (strategies)
ISB2 = Information-Seeking Behavior Questionnaire (frequency)
ISB3 = Information-Seeking Behavior Questionnaire (time)
CFA1 = Career Field Analysis paper (number of references)
CFA2 = Career Field Analysis paper (variety of types of references)
Implications for Practice

1. Website and self-help services
2. Individual therapy
3. Brief staff-assisted services
4. Campus tours/orientation
5. Evidence-based practice
6. Beyond career services
Conclusions

1. What happened to modeling in counseling research and practice?
2. A practical, efficient and effective intervention
3. Video participants engaged in more ISB than nonparticipants
4. Replicate using noncourse participants and examining diversity and gender of models
For More Information

www.career.fsu.edu/techcenter
Questions/Comments